United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/824,527	04/15/2004	Bum-Joon Kim	5823.0260-00	7151		
22852 FINNEGAN, I	7590 04/09/200 HENDERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER				
LLP	·	, o. 2 da 2 da 1 da 2	SITTON, JEHA	SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA		
	RK AVENUE, NW DN, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·		1634			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
•			04/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)			
	10/824,527	KIM ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jehanne S. Sitton	1634			

	Jehanne S. Sitton		1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amend ice of Appeal (with appea	dment, aff al fee) in o	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (lies).	dvisory Action, or (2) the dat ter than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V	the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the cont		87 CED 1 1	36(a) and the appropriate	o outonaion foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspondin hortened statutory period for	ng amount r reply origi	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	lance with 37 CFR 41.37	must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the data of filir	na a briaf	will not be entered by	200100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search	(see NO	TE helow).	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		(500) 110	1 L BCIOW),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	• •	terially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of	finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4			•	
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of	f Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 2,4 and 12 would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1 and 10.	☑ will not be entered, or ided below or appended.	b) 🗌 wil	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
φ. Claim(s) objected to: <u>12</u> . Claim(s) rejected <u>24.41,12 and 14</u> : 2 , 4 , 1 , 1	•.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3, 5-9 and 13. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of sufficient reasons why the	filing a No he affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und and was not earlier pres	der appea ented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the clain	ns after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the app	lication in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/824,527

Art Unit: 1634

Attachment

Page 2

- 1. The amendment filed 3/15/2007 will not be entered because it raises new issues and requires further consideration with regard to claims 10 and 14. The response asserts that the office did not examine claim 10. However, claim 10 was examined and found to be allowable, accordingly, no rejections were applied. An error occurred in not indicating claim 10 as allowed in the office action summary. The instant advisory action correctly indicates that status of claim 10, as filed in the amendment dated 9/20/2006. With regard to the proposed amendment to claim 10, the response asserts that it has been amended to recite very similar language to proposed amended claim 2, except that it uses the traditional claim transition term "consisting essentially of". It is noted, however, that the specification does not provide support for the term, nor does it clearly set forth what the basic and novel characteristics of the phrase are in relation to a nucleic acid molecule. Accordingly, the phrase is interpreted as "comprising", thus necessitating new grounds of rejection under 35 USC 112/first paragraph on the claim. Additionally, claim 14 requires further consideration under 35 USC 112/first paragraph. It is also noted that the term "S. hybroscopicus" occurs twice.
- 2. The arguments at pages 6-7 regarding the Specification objection are persuasive to overcome the objection. Accordingly, the object has been withdrawn.
- 3. The additional arguments are directed to the proposed amended claims which have not been entered, they will not be addressed.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Sitton whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/824,527

Art Unit: 1634

Page 3

0752. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Jehanne Sitton

Jehanne Sitt

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634

4/407